Approved For \_\_\_\_ease 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-035784600300040001-2

SECRET

OME COPY ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

"THE CAREER SYSTEM IN CIA"

Presented by In Personnel Lecture Series Conducted by Office of Personnel

25X1A

2 February 1955

TOB NO. 78-03578 ABOX NO. 3 FLD NO. 4 DOC. NO. 1 NO CHANGE IN CLASS! (DECLASS! (CLASS CHANGED TO: TS & CRET. JUST. 22 MEXT REV DATE 10 HEY DATE 10 FEB 80 REVIEWER 035735 TYPE DOC. 10. PGS 38 CREATION DATE ORG COMP 32 OPI 32 ORG CLASS SHEV CLASS CREV COORD AUTH: HR 70-2

Transcribed from Tape Recording by 10 May 55

Presented by In Personnel Lecture Series Conducted by Office of Personnel 25X1A

2 February 1955

25X1A

Our discussion today, as you know, is the Career Service System in CIA, the history and concept of the Career System, its relation to the Civil Service, a comparison of other career systems in the Government and elsewhere, and the significance to CIA of an organized Career System.

I'd like to provide a sort of a frame of reference or background for this by recounting the history of this concept because, as I say, I don't believe it is down in black and white anywhere. As far as I know, however, it goes back to 1944, because in 1944 in OSS, General Donovan, who had the concept of OSS--the idea of OSS, and who also had the idea of--and I'm using small letters, not capitals--"centralized intelligence", established in November of 1944, before the Battle of the Bulge, when, as you recall, it looked as though the war was going to be over in Europe very shortly, and then it just didn't happen that way--didn't happen until May -- but anyway, at the very end of the war, or what appeared to be the end of the war, when all the officers were being demobilized and getting out of the service, and so on, General Donovan established a planning committee to continue the concept, the theory, the spirit, or whatever you want to call it, of centralized intelligence.

Now, you must remember that OSS was responsible to the Joint Chiefs of Staff. There was no civilian intelligence organization at that time. One of the first problems which had to be faced was: In time of peace should the central intelligence concept be a military one or a civilian one? At that time it was decided, with the concurrence of the Joint Chiefs of Staff--and it wasn't without some argument, I might add-that centralized intelligence--because there was no Central Intelligence Agency, of course, at that time--centralized intelligence would have to be a civilian activity if we were to carry out the basic principles and precepts of the American democratic system. In other words, we were not going to establish military intelligence on a national basis, and we--the United States--were not going to establish the concept of the military services

controlling the National intelligence, because the State Department, the Commerce Department, etc., had a very large stake in it, especially during peacetime. I happened to be on that planning committee in OSS at that time, so I speak with a certain amount of first-hand knowledge. Of course, you all realize that the planning very quickly got way beyond the competence and the capacity, and the stature, let's say, of the persons who originally started the planning. It got out into the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and so on, and so those of us who started it lost continuity for awhile. But the important thing was that at that time there was established a list of those persons who, in the opinion of their supervisors and their chiefs in OSS, could be called "career personnel", for whom it was extremely desirable that a system, a concept be devised so that they would stay in the intelligence business, rather than tearing the whole thing down and then, at some future time, starting over again. So in 1944 there was started what you might call "an executive registry" or "an executive roster" of intelligence personnel. Very occasionally you will find a reference to one of those forms which describe the capabilities and potential of these persons, in an Official Personnel Folder. That is the significance of that form, which you will find in a few Folders of those persons who are still on duty with CIA--there are a number of them: Sherman Kent, and so on. I could mention a number of others.

the <u>first one</u> on the centralized intelligence concept and the career concept—there wasn't much within the succeeding organizations of SSU, the Strategic Services Unit, which came into being on the first of September, 1945, when OSS was abolished by Executive Order, or even in the Central Intelligence Group, but that is where this concept began to gather force and weight, and get some flesh on the bare bones of the skeleton. In 1946 the Central Intelligence Group was established by Executive Order, again because there was no law which would make it possible for a central intelligence agency to be established. The Armed Forces had not yet been amalgamated. The Air Force was still the Air Corps of the Army. So in 1946 there was established, in place of OSS and in place of SSU, the Central Intelligence

I don't want to spend too much time on the next couple of

25X1A

Group, which, again, was just a group of people established by the authority

of the President, and that Central Intelligence Group encompassed all that remained, let's say, of OSS after the "hara-kiri period" in 1945--that is what we called it--when it was necessary to take down the tremendous organization that had been built during the war. But a very important action took place which had affected all of us at that time. Those of us who were-how shall I call it?--who were concerned with the content of intelligence in OSS, were not appointed as we are now. We all worked on contract. Everybody was a contractual employee, and we signed a contract. So there was at that time, during the Central Intelligence Group period in 1946, a transfer from unvouchered-funds-contractual-status to vouchered-funds-excepted-appointment status. For example, in my case I vacated or abandoned the contract under which I had been employed by OSS, which was on an unvouchered funds basis -- all of them were on an unvouchered funds basis, and I was just one of many thousands -- and I went on an excepted appointment on vouchered funds. That has an important bearing -- I mean this concept of unvouchered-fundscontractual-basis versus vouchered-funds-appointment-basis is a thread which carries all the way through the thinking on this.

The next important point, I believe, was, as you all know-and I only mention it in passing because it is a milestone -- the Armed Forces Act of 1947, which established CIA. And just as a matter of interest let me describe to you the effective date of the beginning of CIA. The Armed Forces Act said that this particular part of the Act which establishes the Central Intelligence Agency shall become effective the day after Mr. Forrestal takes the oath of office. Now that was an extraordinary kind of a provision in a law, and it was there because there wasn't anybody in the Armed Forces --Army, Navy, Air--who had confidence in any other single human being to be the first Secretary of Defense except James Forrestal. Therefore, the Act which established the amalgamation of the Armed Forces, which established the National Security Council, which established CIA, etc., was based on the personality and the confidence which the country reposed in Forrestal. Forrestal took his oath of office in the second week of September, and Admiral Hillenkoetter took his oath of office on the 17th of September, and so the 18th of September, 1947, is the official date of the beginning of CIA. which is the longevity And if you will look at our

Regulation of CIA, you will see that 18 September 1947 is there fixed in the Regulation, after all kinds of research, and confirmation by the General Counsel, as the effective beginning date of CIA. Therefore, our longevity program in CIA stems and dates and is controlled by that date. That was Public Law 253, as you know, of course.

The next milestone was 1948, the Central Intelligence Act, Public Law 110, which, for the first time, gave to the Director all of the extraordinary powers which he exercises, which the Congress has given to him to administer and to operate CIA. In that, for example, is exemptions from the Classification Act, which is an extremely important factor because that has enabled CIA to establish its Career Staff in the way in which it has.

Now I can't find or I can't recall anything very significant in 1949 except an awful lot of hard work trying to get this thing going. I don't find in my memory or in any of the documents, any real milestones in 1949. But in 1950, of course, General Smith became the Director of Central Intelligence. And here in 1950 we pick up, again, the direct thread of the concept of "The Career Service", "The Career Staff", "The Career Program", or whatever you want to call it. Only a very short time after General Smith became the DCI--as a matter of fact, I think it was five weeks afterwards, in November of 1950 -- he was informed that there was an Air Force colonel in Washington who had been called back to duty. This was during the Korean emergency, as you know. This Air Force colonel was Matt Baird. And in conversation, in November of 1950, General Smith told Colonel Baird that he wanted him to join CIA and was asking for him to be assigned to CIA for the purpose of developing a career corps in CIA. When Matt Baird came on duty on the 3d of January, 1951--which was two months later, when the papers and so on had gone through -- he found that he was Director of Training; but he also had the personal responsibility to General Smith to develop this concept of a career system in CIA.

So that brings us to the beginning of 1951. On the 17th of March General Smith wrote to who was the High Commissioner in at that time, and I'd like to read to you what he said. 17 March 1951, from SMITH to this is a quotation from his letter--"I am trying to build up a corps of well qualified men here who are interested in making a career with the Central Intelligence Agency. To effect this I

25X1A 25X1A

recently established a training section which functions, as much as I dislike the term, as a sort of 'career management office'." Now, that quotation I read to you only to document the fact that it was Smith's drive and concept which picked up the original concept of Donovan's in 1944, made it effective, and drove this whole thing forward to a conclusion--no, I won't say a "conclusion" because it isn't concluded yet, and it won't be for a long, long time, as I believe we will agree.

Well, the first responsibility that Baird had when he came to CIA was to implement what Smith had brought him into the Agency to do, and some six months after his arrival on duty, namely, on the 3d of July, 1951, he presented this paper: A Program for the Establishment of a Career Corps in the Central Intelligence Agency. This was approved in principle by General Smith. And if you will recall, Trubee Davison had become the Assistant Director for Personnel on the first of July, 1951, and Trubee Davison, also, to the Director, writes -- which is here in this Staff Study -- "I am in hearty accord with the proposal, subject to minor qualifications in timing, and recommend that you approve the plan in principle." And this plan--A Program for the Establishment of a Career Corps in CIA--was approved in principle immediately by General Smith. It was then disseminated throughout the Agency to all the Heads of Offices in the Agency for their comments and for their constructive criticism. The comments were legion and the constructive criticism was even more voluminous; and along with it--I might add--there was a certain amount of destructive criticism because, unfortunately and inadvertently the term "elite corps" was used in this paper several times. Everybody said: Who is going to be the elite corps? Am I in it or am I not? And there was an awful row. So these comments started flooding in. And General Smith said, "Well, this isn't the way I planned it. Let's do something about it." So on the 1st of October of 1951 there was established the CIA Career Service Committee, which was reporting directly to General Smith, and its purpose was to take this plan and all these comments which had come in--and there were bushels of them--and bring some order out of this terrific amount of paper and ideas. At that time I entered into the picture again because, with a certain amount of desk pounding -- of which I probably should be ashamed, but nevertheless happened -- I insisted, to the extent that one can insist, on at least being considered for the opportunity of serving

25X1A 25X1A

this Committee, because for so long--back to 1942--I had felt strongly about the concept of an intelligence career, and I wanted to do something about it. So, since there wasn't anybody else that felt as strongly as I about it, or at least who didn't make so much noise about it, I became the Executive Secretary of the CIA Career Service Committee. That Committee consisted of who was the Assistant Director for Personnel, Chairman, Matt Baird, Lyman Kirkpatrick, Sherman Kent, and

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

was the Assistant Director for OCI. The Committee was composed of four persons: The Assistant Director for Personnel, the Director of Training, and one representative from the covert services and one representative from the overt services, namely, and Kirkpatrick. Kirkpatrick got polio very shortly thereafter, while on a trip overseas, and so his place was almost immediately taken by representing the covert services. That Committee then started to function immediately -- in October -- and it set up a schedule of weekly meetings, with weekly agenda and staff papers for its consideration. Some of you know this part of it, I'm sure, but I will skip over it as briefly as I can, in order to make sure that we all have the same background on it. It established the principle that it would devise a system for CIA out of the experience and out of the recommendations of the chain of command. It would not do it from a staff point of view, but would do it by imposing responsibilities, in addition to their other duties, on the operating personnel. That meant, then, that the various working groups, task forces, and so on, which were established to handle various concepts and principles which had to be solved, were composed of division chiefs, deputy assistant directors, and so on, from all over the Agency, with, in every case, a representative from the Office of Personnel and a representative from the Office of Training on the task force. But they were outnumbered, numerically

until the 1st of June. The Career Service Committee held 40 weekly meetings, and each of these 10 task forces held approximately an average of 15 meetings.

at least, by the operating personnel from various parts of the Agency. There were about 10 or more of these task forces, each of which consisted of about eight people. The task forces met weekly and the Career Service Committee

met weekly. There was a terrific schedule of meetings and staff studies, and a snowstorm of papers on this problem. This went on from the 1st of October

Each one was assigned a different problem: one was assigned the problem of

selection criteria; another was assigned the problem of rotation; another was assigned the problem of evaluation—and out of that task force came the PER, for example. In June all of these papers had been studied and approved by the Career Service Committee, and some ten months after this series of study groups and task forces had been established, there was laid on General Smith's desk the final report of the Career Service Committee. Here is Copy 1, dated 13 June 1952. At the bottom it is signed: Walter B. Smith - APPROVED.

Now this was the Career Service Program of CIA which was approved in June of 1952, and which was the charter for our Career Service System from then until 1 July of this past year [1954]. It was this Staff Study which was published in The CIA Career Service Program, also in June of that year. It was this Staff Study and the recommendations of this Study which established, for example, the CIA Career Service Board, the Office Career Service Boards, the career designation system, the rotation program with Career Development Slots, the Personnel Evaluation Report (PER), all of those things were wrapped up into this, and dated from that time.

There is one thing which it did <u>not</u> do, which was extremely important and had been anticipated for a long time--from the very beginning-and that was the establishment of a SERVICE. Now, the terminology gets very confused and you have to realize how these words are used. For example, we had a career service PROGRAM but we didn't have a career SERVICE. We didn't have a service, a corps, a group, to which a person belonged or did not belong, as in the case of the Foreign Service of the United States. Let's take the State Department set-up for a moment. There are what?-20,000 people who work for the State Department, but there are only somewhat less than 2,000 who are Foreign Service Officers. The others are Foreign Service reserve officers, Foreign Service staff officers, they are departmental employees of the Department of State, but they are not Foreign Service Officers, and they do not belong to the Foreign Service.

Let's take another example, an Officer in the Army who holds a regular commission. In other words, he is commissioned as an Officer in the U.S. Army, or he is commissioned as a Reserve Officer in the U.S. Army Reserve. We have samples of those commissions here so that you can see the differences in these services. Another example: a member of the Public Health Service,

as opposed to a Civil Service employee who works in the Public Health Service. Or the Coast and Geodetic Service as opposed to a Civil Service employee who works for the Coast and Geodetic Survey. What I am trying to say is that while we had a career service PROGRAM in 1952, we did not have a SERVICE. We did not have a corps yet, we only had a "program", which you could define, perhaps, as a system of policies, principles, schedules, opportunities, procedures, which according to the program, when approved, applied to everybody in CIA, but they applied to employees of CIA as employees of CIA, not as members of a corps, not as members of a SERVICE.

So one of the first responsibilities of the CIA Career Service Board which was established in June of 1952--by this approval of General Smith's--which held its first meeting on 26 August 1952 and has held 40 or more since then, one of its first responsibilities was to continue the search for and the devising of the Career Service of CIA, as opposed to what had already been established -- the Career Service PROGRAM. That was likewise the responsibility of the various Office Career Service Boards, of which, as you know, there were about 20 at one time, one for ONE, Personnel, Commo, Training, OCI, Logistics, and so on. There are less than the maximum now because certain consolidations have taken place, as you know. Well, that was the culmination, let's say, of quite a lot of activity during 1952. And this program was implemented, to the extent that the Agency was able to do so, during 1953. By that time, Walter Wolf, who was the first Chairman of the Career Service Board, had resigned. His place was taken by Lyman Kirkpatrick, Chairman of the Career Service Board, who relinquished his post as Chairman, as you know, to Mr. Reynolds after he had become Assistant Director for Personnel in the early part of 1954, a year or so ago. By that time the studies, which had been continued for the CIA Career Service Board, had reached the point where we were just about ready to establish the Intelligence SERVICE, which, as you know, is now called "The Career Staff". One of the principal study groups, task forces, or whatever you want to call them, which had that responsibility, and which made the greatest contribution to this concept and to the mechanism, was the Professional Selection Panel, which had been established under the original program in 1952. Without going into all the gory details of what happened, who did what to whom, and so on, what groups were established and the timing of these things,

just let me refer briefly and in passing to the series of task forces and committees, and so on, that came into being last March and April, a year ago, to establish a promotion program—that was done by a task force of the Career Service Board; our Career Development of Junior Personnel Program; and so on. Those were established, then, in the closing weeks of Fiscal Year 1954, and at the same time there was placed before the Director, for his approval, the establishment of the Career Staff, which was really the goal that had been started back in 1944 and which finally became effective on 1 July 1954, the establishment of the Career Staff of CIA, which is recounted, as you know, in

Now that is, by and large, the history and the timing and the sequence of steps which took place over a period of ten years, which led to the Career Staff.

I'd like to leave, now, the historical aspects of it and talk about some of the substantive aspects. One of the things -- I am responsible for this because I said I would talk about it -- is the relation of the Career System in CIA to the Civil Service. That is an extremely large subject. I am not the most competent person to talk about this because I don't know enough about the Civil Service system to do it with final and sufficient authority for you. At the risk of misleading you, however -- I certainly won't be doing it deliberately -- I'd like to ask you to think about the difference between membership in the Career Staff of CIA and permanent Civil Service status under the Civil Service system. In the first place, membership in the Career Staff of CIA has no legal force. It is not based in law--we will come back to that later -- it has force and effect only within CIA, and, in actual fact, by recent ruling of the Career Council, when the Selection Board has passed on your application your membership in the Career Staff is a classified matter. In other words, while the terms of membership in the Career Staff are not classified, your individual membership is classified. To put it in a practical way, the unsigned application for membership in the Career Staff is not classified, but the minute you sign it it automatically becomes classified. The reason for that is that the Career Council, at its very last meeting, decided that a basic change would be made in our system of notifying persons of personnel actions, and that employment of an individual by CIA was a classified matter; and there follows, as a

corollary, that your membership in the Career Staff of CIA is classified. I mention that only to point out and emphasize the fact that membership in the Career Staff of CIA has force and effect only within CIA and has, you might say, no existence outside of CIA; whereas the holding of Civil Service status has force and effect throughout the Government. Now, what this means, then, is that CIA is interested primarily in your continued activity as a member of CIA, and is only very mildly interested in your opportunities for working in the rest of the Government. In other words, CIA takes a pretty selfish view of this. CIA is not really interested in helping you to make yourself more useful and more available in other parts of the Government because CIA is bending every effort to retain your services for CIA. It is in those terms that I think we should think of and compare the difference in these two concepts, the concept of belonging to the intelligence Service within CIA as opposed to belonging to the Government-wide Civil Service.

In the application for membership in the Career Staff there is the word "tenure". I may be wrong, but I think this is the only place where "tenure" is used in CIA literature, as it were. I want to speak about that briefly. I will read you the sentence:

> "By virtue of this application for membership and upon my acceptance in the Career Staff, I am assured that, with continuing satisfactory work performance and conduct on my part, just and equitable attention will be accorded my personal progress during my tenure in the Career Staff."

Well, "tenure" is the important word that I would like us to think about at the moment. "Tenure" is an abstract term. It means various things to various people, and in various contexts. But I think we probably could all agree that tenure with respect to employment has an important bearing on job security, continuity of employment, and so on. In other words, CIA has never used the word "tenure" before because, and I am expressing my own, personal opinion now, because I believe heretofore CIA has not faced the fact that it could, should and would convey status to its employees. Membership in the Career Staff, then, is a kind of status which you acquire, which is different from Civil Service status. It has no force or effect outside of CIA, but it does have effect and force and significance within CIA. Now I don't want to get involved, and I couldn't get involved too deeply, in reduction-in-force, which is one way of approaching the job security and

continuity of employment problems. But before the Career Service Board, in June, recommended to the Director that he sign this Regulation establishing the Career Staff, the Regulation and the application were checked out with the Civil Service Commission, and their reply, which is an informal reply, was read into the transcript of one meeting of the Career Service Board. As nearly as I can recall it, and I'm not trying to quote, I'm trying to give an account of what it was rather than a direct quotation, a very responsible official of the Civil Service Commission said that while it was not an official ruling, because until an appeal case was brought to the Commission it could not be an official ruling, and the Commission could not give an official ruling until that happened, the unofficial opinion of the Civil Service Commission was that membership in the Career Staff of CIA, when used and operated under certain conditions, would and should become a factor in reduction-in-force proceedings, within the terms of the Veterans Preference Act. Now that is an extremely important factor. What this means, then, according to my understanding, is that if we use and administer the Career Staff properly and skillfully, it means that membership in the Career Staff places a person in a different area of competition from a person who is not a member of the Career Staff in CIA. The reason for that is that the declaration of desire to make a career with CIA, and the willingness to serve in various capacities, anytime and anywhere, places the individual in a more mobile status than one who has not made such an application; and, therefore, in the view of the Civil Service Commission, the person who has become a member does not compete with the person who is not a member.

Now, I am not an expert on the Veterans Preference Act. You know how complex it is. I don't pretend or I don't want to be misunderstood as being a final authority on how this would be used or how it would be administered in the event of a reduction-in-force procedure. I only give you what this member of the Civil Service Commission told CIA on an informal basis, but his point was that should this be brought to the Commission on a formal basis, it was his opinion that that is what the Commission would rule.

That is about as far as I think I should go, in view of the short time I have here today, in the relationship of the Career Staff to the Civil Service of the Government.

There is one other thing I want to point out to you, and that

SÉCRET.

is whether you hold permanent Civil Service status or not makes absolutely no affect on your personnel actions within CIA. In other words, you are as available for assignment to any job in CIA whether you hold permanent Civil Service status or not.

Now, when this membership in the Career Staff becomes fully implemented -- and those of you who are interested in what I have to say about the Career Staff membership, next week you will see what I mean about that -when it is fully implemented, membership in the Career Staff may become, could very well become a factor in assignments, because certain positions may -- I don't say will -- may be open only to members of the Career Staff, just as certain training opportunities right now are open only to members of the Career Staff, the reason for that being -- if I can digress for just a moment -that external training, which is an extremely expensive undertaking -- most of you don't realize, perhaps, how many thousands of the taxpayers' dollars are involved in the teaching of a language over a period of a year, but it is thousands of dollars -- those opportunities will not be available to anybody who is not a member of the Career Staff unless the Head of the Office certifies, over his own signature, that it is absolutely essential to the performance of the individual's current responsibilities. The reason for this is the Director of Training is responsible for administering that part of Public Law 110 which gives to the Director the right to spend money for external training programs, an opportunity or a facility that is greater than that of any other member of the Executive Department of Government. Experience has shown that there are a number of persons who, after having accepted and gained great benefit from these expensive training programs, have then taken off and resigned, and gone about their own business. The Director of Training is not going to risk the taxpayers' money on that sort of thing any longer. Now, there is a point there--and, again, I will have to digress--I mentioned to you the fact that there was no force in law in this membership in the Career Staff. In other words, there are no Articles of War which CIA has created or proposes to create. The only reason an officer of the Armed Forces can't say, "Well, I'm tired. I'm going back to Binghamton, New York" or wherever he happens to live -- the only reason he can't say that is because if he does he is subject to court-martial, otherwise "x" number of them would do it. Now the right of the Armed Forces to court-martial is established by

law, and the mechanism by which court-martials are carried out and held is contained in the Articles of War, or Army Regulations, or whatever their particular terms are at the moment, but traditionally called the Articles of War. Such a system has never been devised anywhere -- and I am talking not only about America but all free countries -- with respect to civilians. It may be behind the Iron Curtain, in places there may be the concept of purges and Articles of War, forced employment, slave labor, etc., behind the Iron Curtain, but this side of the Iron Curtain there is no such thing. Consequently, even though there is a system such as the Foreign Service of the United States, that part which conducts the diplomacy of the United States, and the Coast and Geodetic Service, and the Public Health Service, there is no civilian who can't, at the drop of a hat, whenever he decides, turn in his resignation and leave that Service. CIA does not propose to do anything contrary to that concept. There will never be, as far as I can see -- CIA has adopted this policy at the very highest point -- there will never be even the thought that an individual could be prevented from resigning from CIA or leaving CIA. There is no mechanism to do it. How would you do it? Do you throw him in a jail? What law has he violated by saying, "I don't wish to work for CIA any longer"? There is no law, there never could be such a law, and therefore, when I say the Director of Training is taking these steps to help prevent persons from resigning who have gained great benefit from external training, it is more of a psychological measure than it is a factual one, because there isn't anybody that can ever prevent any one of us from saying, "I quit now, period." And there isn't anything that anybody can ever do about it. So as long as we are a civilian organization, and you will remember I referred to that at the very beginning, that the basic concept approved by the Joint Chiefs and approved by the highest echelons of the Government, at the very beginning of the Central Intelligence concept, the very basic concept was that if we are to have an intelligence system in a free and democratic America, we have to do it on a civilian basis, and therefore there will never be any thought, even, of passing or attempting to pass any law which will prevent a member of that Service from resigning, the same way as a Foreign Service officer can resign.

. . . 10 Minute Recess . . .

. . . The first portion of discussion after the recess was not on the tape recording, so the following is a continuation of his remarks . . .

25X1A

25X1A

(Continuing): Is it based on State Department or the Armed Forces, or what? The answer was it is not based on any one but it is based on all of the best principles that pertained, in all we could locate and that have a bearing and have application to CIA. In other words, it is based on our needs, drawing on the experience of other people to the greatest extent possible.

Related to that was that in the Armed Forces the Career Services, namely, the regulars versus the reserves, in effect bring about a kind of discrimination in training opportunities and assignments between regulars and reserves. So what do we do about that? Well, my answer to that is it is presumed and hoped that everybody in CIA who is eligible and who qualifies, and who has the intent of making a career with CIA, becomes a member of the Career Staff. That is the reason for, let's say, the "negative approach", to conduct a screening-out process rather than to conduct a screening-in. We are not selecting in. I don't know whether I dare say this, because you remember the problems that Secretary Wilson got into over his "hound dog" joke in Detroit, but I will use this illustration, because it is an old homily and I don't think anybody minds being called an "apple" for purposes of comparison. I know it's not very complimentary to call anybody a fruit or a lemon, but an "apple", let's say--let's presume we have a barrel of apples. I grew up, when I was a kid, where there was an orchard, so I know it was necessary periodically to go through the barrel of apples to take out any which had unsound spots because they would contaminate the rest. Well, that is pretty much the principle this is going through. We presume we have a damn good barrel of apples in CIA, but we want to be sure there are no contaminating spots. So that is the screening-out type of process that goes on, and the screening out is a very small percentage but it is an important percentage. That is the answer to that one. It may not be a very well put answer, but I give it with good will and good intent. I'm not calling people names.

Now, another question was, just how does this flow chart

work and just what does it mean? Let me go through that briefly. The No. 1 on the left--"initiate". You see that the paper is initiated by the Career Service Staff in Personnel, and it flows to the employee through his Career Service, and through the supervisor. The individual has the option of applying for membership or declining to apply for membership. After he does that it goes to his supervisor, who recommends to the Career Service, who forwards and recommends, back to the Career Service Staff. Line No. 4 is "process". Now you see there are a couple of sort of swirls over there to the right under PUD, PRD, ESD, Training, Medical and Security. This means that the case -- not the actual paper, the actual application does not go to them -- the name goes to Placement and Utilization Division who is responsible for PRD and ESD coordinating and collating that information in the Personnel Office and returning it to the Career Service Staff. That is what Personnel does, in fact, in this 4-office survey or review which is made; and Training, Medical and Security do the same thing -- they receive this name from our Staff, review it against their files, and return it. So these reviews then come back to the Career Service Staff, which is responsible for the processing. After processing it then goes down to line 6, where it is "scheduled". That is the setting up of this lead time, with a list of 100 people, and assembling the correct Panel of Examiners, getting the right composition so the Agency's interests are best served, and so on. And after scheduling it then goes to the Panel of Examiners -- which is next to the last column, under "selection". Up to this time it is all processing. The selection process takes place only in the Panel of Examiners and Selection Board -- the Panel of Examiners, let's say, being the working arm of the Selection Board. So the Panel of Examiners "recommends", then--line 7--and forwards to the Selection Board where the decision is made as to whether a person is or is not a member of the Career Staff. After the decision is made it comes back to the Career Service Staff where it is recorded, and we go into a rather complex routine there of having it punched into the machine record cards. None of that has actually been done but it is just about to start. The individual is notified of his acceptance and the effective date -where the arrow ends up back under the employee--line 9--"recorded and notified". That is, generally speaking, the flow of the application, in its essential principles.

Q. If an application is turned down, is he or she notified of that and is the reason given?

A. I'd like to read that part of the Regulation to you, because that process is already being carried out. This is Regulation

says this:

"If an individual applies for membership in the Career Staff and is not accepted, he will be informed of the reasons for the rejection and he will be given assistance and guidance in order to correct or eliminate the causes of his rejection and to have an opportunity to demonstrate his suitability for future application and selection into the Career Staff."

Actually, this means that a person is never finally turned down, from a technical point of view. He may apply 50 times, and he may be turned down 50 times, but once turned down he is then told why, and he is told that he can apply again whenever he feels he can "make the grade."

- Q. I have a question in respect to the Panels of Examiners and the Selection Board. Is the Selection Board in possession of any information which would either sustain or nullify the findings of the Panels of Examiners; and, if not, why could not the Panels of Examiners be empowered with authority to make the final selection?
- A. Well, it's set up this way, that the Selection Board--these nine senior persons, each of whom occupies the equivalent of an assistant director position--is responsible not only for the policy but for making these critical decisions. Now the Panel of Examiners--we have an occupational term, a piece of jargon which we use--the Panel of Examiners very often "surfaces" a problem, but it is not making decisions, it is making recommendations only; and so it acquaints the Selection Board with the details and the Selection Board then makes the decision. Do you see what I mean? The Panel of Examiners is responsible for making the recommendation, not the decision, and it makes the recommendation in terms of the problems that have been encountered. Does that answer the question?
- Q. Yes. I was wondering whether the Selection Board had any additional information upon which they could weigh the case?
- You must remember that Security, Medical, Training,
  Personnel--the Heads of those Offices, or their Deputies, as in the
  case of Security, because Sheff Edwards is not a member but

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

is an alternate--they themselves sit on the Selection Board; whereas the Panel of Examiners is composed of 50 or more individuals who have been appointed to do this job of reviewing for the Board because the Board couldn't possibly review all these details. And that is where Category A, B, and C comes in. If nobody, in this whole process, has been able to find, and I use that word advisedly, not because we are looking for trouble, but because we are looking for smoke, let's say, and if nobody has been able to find any smoke, obviously there isn't any fire. And therefore--again, a piece of jargon--this "mass finding" which contains 95 "A" cases on which there is no evidence or suspicion of any reason why these individuals are unsuitable -- the Selection Board so far, and I don't say it always will, but so far it has passed those without further review. And then it gets down to the serious business, and the Selection Board spends a lot of time itself on the "B" and "C" cases, which are problem cases, with a view, again, to ensuring that no injustice or inequity is done to the individual, but that the Agency's interests are also conserved and carried out. In other words, again, the Selection Board takes this action on behalf of the Director -- it's really the Director who is saying this, you see, because the action is taken on behalf of the Director. The application says: ". . . membership in the Career Staff of the Central Intelligence Agency approved to take effect -- whatever the date is -- For the Director of Central Intelligence." Now the point is that you can delegate right down to the supervisor, if you want to, but the Agency has adopted the principle that this decision will be delegated no further than it absolutely has to be; in other words, it has been delegated only down to the Selection Board, consisting of nine senior people--the point of decision. Otherwise, if you delegate it to the Panel of Examiners then the next question is: Why bother with the Panel of Examiners? Why not take the supervisor's decision? It's a question of degree of level to which the delegation of this authority is made.

25X1A

you covered the area where persons in a Class "C" category are not acceptable for Career Service. I don't know whether you did go on to Class "D" cases, where deferment would be necessary--

25X1A

A. Well,

Well, deferment isn't due to unsatisfactory performance,

because if the performance is technically unsatisfactory then the person isn't even eligible. In other words, any person who has been denied a periodic step increase is not eligible to apply until that has been removed, and that comes about through the Regulation, which says: Those persons on whom an action is pending which may lead to separation, are ineligible—or words to that effect. It's in the Regulation.

Now, there are other kinds of inadequate performance besides technically unsatisfactory performance, and therefore, if a person at this particular point in time, and let's say space, also, because he happens to be working in a particular office, although last year he was in another and next year he may be in another, but at this particular point in time and space if his performance is less than satisfactory but not technically unsatisfactory, if it's inadequate performance, if his attitude with respect to his fulfilling the obligations of career service -- and that could cover any one of a multitude of things, which are referred to but not limited to by the criteria, if he abuses leave privileges, and so on, the Panel of Examiners can say, "Action on this person's application is deferred for six months" - or for a year, as the case may be, and there have been none for longer than a year--and during this period it is the supervisor's responsibility to observe and report on and give guidance to the individual, if necessary, or to clear up or provide additional information, or to come up with a new Fitness Report, because, strange as it may seem--and I don't know what percentage it is -- there is always a file which we run onto, even in the small number that have been processed, with no Fitness Report, no evaluation, no nothing. So how are you going to judge this thing? And instead of deferring it for let's say six months, this case may be deferred until a supervisor comes up with an evaluation. Because if there is no evaluation how can the Panel of Examiners or how can the Selection Board make an intelligent decision? Those are the sorts of things which cause deferment. A deferment has to be resolved within a specified period of time, either "yes" or "no". Do you see what I mean?

Q. In other words, when we're writing recommendations for deferment, we

put down a specified period of time?

25X1A

- A. The Panel is really in a better position to do it. If there is no time limit the Panel will always set a time limit within which this application must be reviewed again. And the Selection Board presumably will approve that. That means that by 30 June or 31 December or 2 April, or whatever happens to be appropriate under the circumstances, somebody has to come up with an answer, and that means nobody is left hanging on a skyhook indefinitely. There is always an end to this process. And at that point another deferment could be recommended, but it is more likely to be resolved either "yes" or "no" at that point.
- In the event of a deferment would you notify the individual?
- They are notified in a different way. They are not Α. notified that their application has been deferred. They are notified in this way: A copy of the finding, which is an EYES ONLY, privileged document to the Selection Board and to the Head of the Career Service, a copy of that finding goes to him, attached to a memorandum from Mr. Reynolds as Chairman of the Selection Board. Mr. Reynolds transmits, therefore, to let's say Mr. Saunders or Gordon Stewart or Red White, or whoever is the Head of the Career Service of this individual, a copy of the finding, requests the Head of that Career Service to inform the individual of the reasons for the deferment. The finding, as such, may not be shown to the individual, but the reasons have to be discussed. Now the reason for that, and I'm not going into it more, there are certain technical things -- technical procedures, names of individuals, and so on--contained in the finding, and it is undesirable and inappropriate for all of that to be spread on the record, let's say. So it is the responsibility of the Head of the Career Service to translate that finding into positive counsel to the individual, tell him exactly why, and that copy of the finding has to be returned intact to the Selection Board for destruction. And as long as the individual's case is deferred, nothing goes into his Personnel Folder, because it is presumed and hoped that the case will be resolved positively eventually, in which case the only thing that goes into the individual's Folder is that he has been accepted

as a member of the Career Staff. Do you see what I mean? This protects the individual who, for maybe no fault of his own, has gotten involved in some situation which can't be resolved today but has to be resolved two months from now. You can just let your mind wander all around the possibilities in this sort of thing. In a case like that the individual is protected because nothing goes into his Folder until he is accepted; but if he is turned down, which could happen -- but I say deliberately that most cases will be resolved positively, but some probably won't -- when it is turned down then the case is documented in the Official Personnel Folder and the individual is given a copy of it; and, furthermore, the individual is asked to acknowledge by his signature the fact that he has been notified. He is shown a copy but since it is classified he may not retain it, and that copy with his acknowledgment goes in his Folder, you see. This provides, to the extent that the Agency has been able to devise, it provides the greatest protection to the individual and to his reputation and to his circumstances, but in the last analysis it finally lays it on the line: You either are or are not a member of the Career Staff. In both cases that is in your Folder, but as long as it is pending nothing is in your Folder, for the protection of the individual.

- Q. What discretion does an Office Board have in delaying action?
- A. It has absolutely none. That is rather a flat statement.
- Q. For example, if you have a person who was reassigned to your Board with a change in service designation, and a month later the Career Staff application came up, you would not have had sufficient time to arrive at a recommendation as to which type, so you would say it would probably be placed in Type "B", with the statement that you would like say six more months to decide.

I think the Panel of Examiners would say, "Well, we will go to the other Career Board which does know about this guy, and resolve this" - because why should this case be delayed because somebody can't make up their minds yet? In other words, we are talking about the Agency, not about a particular part of the Agency, and there ought to be, and this is my personal view, very few of

these cases have come up, and none have been officially ruled on yet by the Selection Board--there ought to be a mechanism available, and to the extent that I have anything to do with it, we will create it, so that these cases can be resolved quickly, because the longer these cases are delayed, the more unsatisfactory it is for everybody concerned, and mostly me because I will have to carry it as a suspense item, and I have too many already.

25X1A

you mentioned under 9 that in the Career Service Staff these things are being punched, card-indexed, and so on. Does that mean that none of these have come back yet?

25X1A

- January--you see--and here's a 2nd degree digression--the cases don't go to the Board necessarily the way they come from the Career Service, because additional information becoming available, the Panel has the right and does sometimes make a recommendation different from the recommendation of the Career Service. Now I can't give you the figures, only because I don't remember them, but I think it was about nine cases went to the Board with a recommendation different from the Career Service, and the Board approved, I believe, seven of those, and reversed the decision of the Panels on the others, so that the Board didn't agree with the Panel but did agree with the Career Service. That is a part of the answer to your question. The Board really looks at these things. It is not a rubber stamp process.
- Q. What about the "C" cases?

25X1A

A. I am not making a distinction between "C" and "B" cases, although I can, because one of the cases which came from the Career Service was a Type B case and the Panel rose in its wrath over a particular problem and said, "This should be a Type C case." And the Board, on whom the Head of that particular Career Service also sat, agreed wholeheartedly with the Panel, and it was a Type C case. Here was a case of a man who was a Head of a Career Service and also a member of the Board, who had to wear two hats, for one reason or another. He recommended that it be a "B" case, but when it was completely staffed out he agreed with the Board that it should be a

"C" case, and the decision was unanimous. So here was a case of a Type C which came in as a Type B, was made Type C by the Panel, and was upheld by the Board. Other cases came in as Type A and were made Type B by the Panel. Two cases came in as Type B and were made Type A by the Panel. Those were upheld by the Board, with the exception of two in which the Panels were more severe than the Board thought they should be, and so they were originally A, were made B by the Panel-these two cases--and returned to A by the Board.

Q. Do all cases, then, go to the Selection Board?

25X1A

Every case. The only place this decision can be made is at a full meeting of the Selection Board.

Now, to get back to the numbers and your question about the punched cards. After the meeting of the Selection Board and I knew how many cases were on the agenda but I didn't know how many were acted on, because there was a slight difference, I added them up and it came to 400, and I said: There couldn't be a worse number if we picked it out of a hat, because the 400 means that this must be the "elite corps"! So until the Selection Board meets again, there are exactly 400 members of the Career Staff, and when the Selection Board meets again and passes its next workload, which will be 25 February, it will have another 500 cases, approximately, to work on, and presumably there will be, when we get through, approximately 900 members of the Career Staff.

Our next most important problem is to work out a complete flow of this into PRD for recording into the Personnel Folders, and into Machine Records Division, through PAS, for recording the date of membership in the Career Staff. That will be done, presumably, by using three columns on the machine records cards to punch the date, and the date means membership as of that date. You see what I mean? That means that in a fairly short time rosters can be prepared, whenever it is desired, of members of the Career Staff and the dates of their membership; and that fact can also be incorporated into any other kind of a roster when it is desired that it should be on that roster.

Q. This is a hypothetical case, but suppose an individual was, shall we

say, let go by the Agency, and this individual by some means gets himself back into the Agency and is one of these people upon whom some testimony, at one time or another has been made to the effect that the Selection Board considers him one of the bad apples in the barrel, and therefore denies his membership, and gives him a Class C categorization. At that time what happens to this person? He has a chance to get back into the Career Staff, does he not? In other words, what does this decision really do to affect this man's career?

25X1A

Α.

- Well, he has a chance. Yes, he can apply. He can sign an application once a day, but that doesn't mean it's going to be acted on positively, because it is entirely within the power of decision of the Selection Board. There is absolutely nothing, until Congress revokes Public Law 110, which deprives the Director of this right to decide who is going to be a member of the Career Staff and who is not, and he has delegated that power exclusively to the Selection Board.
- Q. I mean beyond that point.

25X1A

- A. There is no point beyond that.
- Q. Well, say the individual is, shall we say, out of the picture. He is Category C. And he has made five applications. And after that 5th application he is, shall we say, still with the Agency, and it is the desire of the Agency to get rid of him. In other words, my point is what affect does this decision have in putting him in Class C, have upon him?

25X1A

Upon him? It has none outside the Agency. It is classified and will never be revealed outside of the Agency, because—and this is very important—each person's individual membership is a classified matter. That means that when this man's Folder—let's say he is employed by some other agency—when his Folder is sent to that agency it will be "stripped", I believe that is the technical term, of classified material, so there will be nothing in the Folder to show whether he was or was not, or what happened with respect to the Career Staff.

Any other questions?  $\int$  No response.  $\int$ 

25X1A

Thank you very much for the stimulating questions which I have had, and please wish the Career Service Staff luck in handling this gigantic problem.

Approved Formlease 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-0357 00300040001-2

SECRET

CAREER SERVICE

by

25X1A

at Personnel Lecture Series

February 1955

25X1A

I wish I could really decide what you would like to have discussed because there are an awful lot of things which have a bearing on this whole problem of the career system, Career Staff membership, and so on. I couldn't possibly cover them all, even if I started telegraphic journalise.

There are a couple of things -- do you mind if I mention a couple of things first? I'd like to mention very briefly something which was in my abstract: The Significance to CIA of an Organized System. Why do we do all this? Why do we go through this? As you all know there is a lot of paper work connected with it. It isn't because we like to write papers, this proliferation of paper. I can say quite sincerely, because I have been at the focus of this from its very beginning, the reason for all these papers is to make sure that everybody gets a fair, open deal on it. In other words, it would be very easy to say: "You are a member of the Career Staff." We are not doing it that way. That is part of the reason for all the paper work. The significance to CIA of an organized system is that in an emergency CIA can count on a hard corps of people to carry out its mission. Now when I say "hard corps" I don't mean an "elite corps", unless you will go along with me and say that CIA is an elite organization, and we are talking about the whole Organization. I'm not talking about a clique when I say "elite", I am talking about the eliteness of CIA, as such, the total eliteness of CIA. I am proud to be working with CIA because I feel there isn't any other outfit in the Government to which I could attach so much pride as I do. In other words, I feel that CIA itself is elite. I'm not afraid to use the word "elite" because that is the way I use it. I'm not talking about a clique of people within CIA, I'm talking about the totalness of CIA, which is elite.

Now, apropos of that, with respect to this numbers business which is implied here, I'd like to read from Notice Without going into the background of the reason why this was brought out--maybe some of you will remember back in October the terrific flap that occurred when somebody

said married women couldn't belong to the Career Staff, and I'll touch on that if anybody wants me to, and discuss that, but I do want to read this. Mr. Dulles has personally signed this. This text was forwarded to him by the Career Council which met in a crash, emergency session for the sole purpose of setting at rest this concept of intention to make a career with CIA: "As I stated in the Career Service Conference on 3 August 1954, all employees who personally desire and intend to make a career with CIA can make application for membership in the Career Staff in good conscience." "Personal desire" and "intention" is all important. In other words, you want to be a member of the Career Staff of CIA. It's a voluntary kind of action that you take. There are contained in the application for membership in the Career Staff, which I will discuss at greater length when I cover the criteria and mechanics of it next week, there are contained in this application eleven extremely important principles which are, you might say, hallmarks of the Career Staff in CIA. The first is implied -- I grant you it's not actually contained in it--the trial period, your eligibility for membership in the Career Staff. You have to be on duty for three years before you are eligible for membership.

Now it is very interesting, and I don't know whether we should puff up our chests, because that is not a very admirable thing to do, but I can't help at least considering the possibility on this 3-year business, because you will notice that recently the Civil Service Commission has adopted the same 3-year concept. The 3 years was not just arbitrarily picked out of the air. This trial period, this concept of 3-years' staff employee or staff agent duty with CIA, was arrived at quite deliberately and only after long study. Some of the factors were, since even our regulations are secret -- and every regulation, you will remember, is classified -- it is not possible, technically at least, to discuss with a new employee or a prospective employee all the conditions that will face him when he enters on duty, a new employee doesn't know what he is getting into. Now, to a greater or lesser extent, depending on who talks to him and depending on how it is done, some people know more about what they are going to do when they come to CIA than others. Basically, however, since these things are classified, and if we interpret the rules of classification -- and this applies throughout the Government, this is not just a CIA concept--a new employee knows darn little about what goes

SECKET

on in CIA. Therefore it is extremely, you might say "stupid", to ask a new employee if he wants to make a career with CIA when he doesn't know what he is getting into. He can't possibly arrive at a sound intellectual decision, and this is an intellectual decision the individual has to make. If you haven't given him the material out of which to make a sound decision, how can you expect him to make it? Therefore, until a person is on duty and has had experience with CIA he can't be expected to perform a proper and adequate and deliberate decision as to whether he wants to be a career member of CIA.

The second point, and equally important, is that the experience of intelligence officers of World War II has been pretty much exploited by not only CIA but other parts of the Government; in other words, there are not very many potential recruits for CIA who have had intelligence experience, and therefore the new employee of CIA, while he may be an expert personnel officer somewhere else, or he may be a top-notch communications man, or he may be an economist or political scientist, or what not, we are talking about the profession of intelligence, not the profession of personnel, not the profession of communications or political science or atomic energy, or what have you. Our Career Staff is composed of professional intelligence officers, and by that I mean personnel people who are intelligence officers. We are not just personnel people, we are intelligence officers who are implementing, supporting the intelligence functions through our expertise in personnel, because you can't find experienced intelligence officers outside of CIA, and you can't be sure that the personnel man, the communications man, the political economist is going to turn into an intelligence officer until he has had the opportunity to try to become an intelligence officer, until he has had the opportunity to operate in that frame of reference, and until CIA-this aluminum and plastic monster that we call CIA, that is always "doing" things--the people in CIA we are talking about--has had an opportunity to observe this guy or this gal under operating conditions, because until you have observed him all you can do is speculate as to whether he is going to be able to adapt to the special requirements and characteristics of operating the intelligence business in CIA.

Another point on which we leaned and which was used as guidance is that has a 3-year probationary

Approved For lease 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-03578 00300040001-2

SECRET

period. Another very important point is the completion of an overseas tour of duty prior to this important decision being made, in the case of the Clandestine Services. All of those things had a bearing on the adoption of 3 years as the provisional period prior to this all important, one-shot decision being made in the case of each one of us: Will we or will we not become members of the Career Staff?

Let me go on as fast as I can. I hope I don't get involved and digress too much.

The second important point of these eleven, which I started to give to you, is the fact that it is voluntary. In other words, you are making application for membership after you have been told you are eligible. The application signifies and epitomizes the fact that you are saying you want to be a member of the Career Staff, and nobody is telling you, "You are now a member of the Career Staff."

The third point is that it is Agency wide. It is addressed to the Director. It is not addressed to your supervisor and not addressed to the Assistant Director who is responsible for this Office, or any part of CIA. It is addressed to the DCI. And that is why the application is addressed to him, to remind everybody that what is happening, what is underway is his membership in the CIA Career Staff, not the Personnel Career Service, not the Commo Career Service, the FI Career Service, or what have you.

Then, to run over them very briefly, in the first part of the application is the definition of the Career Staff so that everybody who is signing this application has before him at the time he is taking this action, a reminder of the fact that he is carefully selected, that he will be trained, that he has an obligation to carry out the mission of the Agency, and that he has the intention of doing this for the foreseeable future--in other words, making a career of CIA. Then, to match the obligation that the individual takes to become a member of the Career Staff and carry out these responsibilities, the Agency--again, our plastic and aluminum monster that sounds off soundlessly every once in awhile--gives the individual assurances. And, apropos of that, when I say the "Agency" gives the assurances, I am speaking principally about nine people in the Agency, that we call "the Agency"--the DCI, Mr. Dulles, the DDCI, General Cabell, and the members of the Career Council, who are the heads of the six major components

of the Agency, and the Inspector General. Those nine people are the ones who are giving you and me an assurance that these things will be carried out, because each one of them has personally and individually and deliberately taken upon himself the responsibility, individually and collectively, to see that these are done. There are three principal assurances: of consideration of personal circumstances, capabilities and interests; of attention to your progress -- that is the formal language for talking about your development, the development of all of your potentialities so that you have the greatest opportunity to serve, and, also, so that the Agency can gain the greatest benefit out of all of your talents; and the third assurance is the assurance of reassignment on the successful completion of a previous assignment. That has a very important bearing, as I'm sure you will recognize, on this question of tenure, on the question of job security and job continuity, because in this application you are guaranteed, within the limits of the Agency's availability of funds and need for particular activities -and obviously that goes for anybody, anytime, anywhere. Nobody ever has a contract to do thus and so for the rest of his life. It may look as though that were true, perhaps, in some fancy Hollywood contracts, but we all know that it isn't true. Therefore, always within the limits of availability of funds and availability and continuity of the Agency's mission, each person is guaranteed, within that limitation, the opportunity of reassignment, again according to his interests and desires.

And lastly, the 11th point, which is important, is one which I have already discussed, that is, the status through this recognition of tenure and membership in the Career Staff.

It is now 5:30. If anybody has to leave to make their transportation arrangements, please don't hesitate to do so.

Now I have been talking about this for a long time, and I would much rather try to answer any questions. I believe you had a question?

- Q. In the event of a reduction in force in the Agency, what value would the Career Service have?
- A. In the first place--I can indulge in a little numbers game with you--it is not believed that there ever could be more than of the total strength of the Agency who are members of the Career Staff, because these are projections on a planning, actuarial type

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A

25X1A

of basis- will not have served long enough to be members of the Career Staff. In other words, when you become a member of the Career Staff you are confirmed in a certain kind of seniority. Do you see what I mean? That would mean, then--and I am trying to outguess or foresee how a reduction in force might work--that the most recent employees who were not members of the Career Staff would obviously be the ones to go, and those who are members of the Career Staff would be retained. This would put a premium, then, as we believe it should, and is only fair, on long service and experience. That is a partial answer to your question.

Now I said as an approximate estimation, will be members of the Career Staff. Roughly will not yet have achieved Career status, so they are potential members but not yet members. That leaves us with What are the There are three groups, I believe, that compose The first small group are those who come to CIA open and above board and say, "I am available for two years. I have a leave of absence from my university position, my law firm, my industrial firm" or what not. "I am here for two years." It is quite obvious that that individual does not intend to make a career of CIA. There is nothing to be ashamed about. He is coming and doing his best, and his best is in that frame of reference, for the Government, but he is not and never intended to be a career employee. He is honored for the contribution that he will make, and he is not penalized by not being a member of the Career Staff, because he didn't intend to be and nobody expected him to be a member. So there is a small group of those who have what you might call "legitimate reasons" for not becoming members of the Career Staff.

There is another group who have said they don't want to be members of the Career Staff but they haven't really got good reasons for it. In other words, they are--and this would be a very small group but we already have had enough experience to know that will happen, as we forecast it might--people who don't want to sign up simply because they don't want to commit themselves. Well, a person who doesn't want to commit himself is not yet entitled to all of the opportunities and prerogatives, and various things, because he hasn't yet committed himself so why should he be a member? Okay, there's nothing wrong with that. It's his choice, it's not the Agency's choice. He has said he doesn't

want to be a member, so that is it.

And the last of the three groups which compose this very small number who will not be members of the Career Staff, aside from eligibility on the three year basis, are those whom--I use the term "have been ruled off the course." In other words, they have applied but they are found deficient, they are found wanting, they don't measure up to the standards that CIA sets for Career Staff membership. There is no technical or legal basis on which they can be thrown out of employment. There is no drive to discharge a man. He has been told that he is not a member of the Career Staff because his application has been denied by the Selection Board, and next week I will go into a lot more about that. But remember that he will be told what he has to do in order to qualify, because that is part of the regulation, and as soon as a man who has been "ruled off the course" does qualify and does measure up to those standards, then he will be welcomed into the Career Staff, but it is up to him to put himself in a posture, in a position so that he does qualify.

Now that was a rather long answer to your question, but I think it does have a bearing on the fact that a reduction in force that goes beyond of the Agency is such a cataclysmic thing that you couldn't plan for it. You see what I mean?

- Q. Does he have a right of appeal?
- A. No, he does not, in this sense: he doesn't have a right of appeal on the basis of the conditions by which he was denied membership, but he is told what those reasons were and he is told how he can overcome them, and it is his chain of command's responsibility to help him overcome it.
- Q. . . . Inaudible . . .

25X1A

25X1A

- A. That is quite a long story and I don't think we really have time to go into that, but I will show you how all kinds of safeguards have been built into this so that one man's opinion does not control. There is an orderly and extensive process, and that accounts for this tremendous volume of paper that I talked about, which has been deliberately built in, in spite of the fact that it makes for all this paper, to make sure that everybody gets a fair deal.
- Q. . . Inaudible . . .

25X1A

- A. I'm going to have to refer that to the experts. I couldn't tell you. I don't know how that would work.
- Q. Do the Veterans Preference rights definitely enter into this?

25X1A

Α.

- The Veterans Preference applies to CIA, there is not the slightest question about it. In other words, if two individuals who, let's say, are competing for the same job under a reduction in force, are members of the Career Staff, one of whom is a veteran and one of whom is not, then I think the veteran would probably win out because the Veterans Preference Act applies, and other things are equal. Do you see what I mean?
- Q. . . Inaudible . . .

25X1A

- A. I'm not sure but I don't think that is a factor under the Veterans Preference Act.
- C. The only way this Career Service applies, then, is if everything is equal except being a member or not being a member?

25X1A

- A. That is the principal way in which it will apply. But remember, both of these individuals, one of whom is a veteran and one of whom is not a veteran, have both been declared desirable on an equal basis, let's say, for membership in the Career Staff, by this system. And, therefore, you only have left, to choose between the two, the fact that one has veterans preference and the other has not, but other things are equal and they are both desirable. Please don't ask me too many questions on this, because I'm sure--
- Q. One of the hallmarks of the usual career system is that you bring them in at the bottom and you screen them out as you go toward the top--a selection out system--and the pyramid gets narrower as you get to the top. That doesn't appear anywhere in the Agency's system, and I wondered if it had been ruled out for any particular reason. It seems to me that is part of what makes the selective system in the Navy--you are selected out if you don't get promoted after a certain length of time, and the theory is that only the good remain, that the cream rises to the top. That is the theory.

- A. That was considered.
- Q. This is not competitive after you are in, in that sense. I mean, if a man has to be bad enough to be fired out of the Agency--

25X1A

No, he does not.

Q. It's a unique one.

25X1A

Not quite. Let me explain. This is the commission of the Coast and Geodetic Survey which is established by law, and a career member of the Coast and Geodetic Survey is appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate--"This commission to continue in force during the pleasure of the President of the United States." Now every Career Service which is formally established in effect has the provision that you serve at the pleasure of the boss man. Now that is true of ours, too. In other words, a member of the Foreign Service, of the Coast and Geodetic Service, the Public Health Service, serves at the pleasure of the President of the United States, who appoints him. You serve as a member of the Career Staff of CIA at the pleasure of the Director, who appoints you. Now the Director has the mechanism of the Selection Board -- and I'm just going to have to talk about this one, although it will take a few minutes -- he has the mechanism of the Selection Board to handle this on his behalf. The Selection Board has not been delegated, but by direction of the Director takes these actions on his behalf. Your application for membership, when it is signed and approved, says -- I want to read just that one point, at the bottom of the application -- "Membership in the Career Staff of the Central Intelligence Agency approved to take effect" on a certain date. "For the Director of Central Intelligence." In other words, this is done in the name of the Director, just as this commission is signed in the name of the President by the Secretary of State or by the Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, and so on.

Now the point which you raised is how do you get people out? For any reason that the Selection Board deems sufficient and fair, a person's membership in the Career Staff can be terminated. In other words, it's going to be somewhat easier to get out of the Career Staff than it is to get in. Now that revocation or cancellation of membership in the Career Staff is not necessarily in the legal terms that would be required to discharge or fire a man, but for failing to measure up. Supposing I am an acceptable member of the Career Staff now, and the Selection Board says, "Yes, you are in." And then I start getting sloppy. I don't do this, that, or so on. My performance

CONFIDENTIAL

slides off. Well, the Selection Board can review my case at the request of the Personnel Career Board. My case would be referred to the Selection Board by the Personnel Career Service, who would say, "We don't think that should be a member of the Career Staff anymore." The Selection Board holds a hearing and cancels my membership.

Now the thing that was deliberately avoided in setting up our Career Staff was this forced promotion or out, because too often that very factor, subconsciously or in an intangible way, forces a board or a group to promote a guy in order to prevent him from being thrown out or eliminated. We did not want in CIA a system whereby you had to keep on moving until you became the DCI, because we know darn well that it is extremely unlikely that anybody in this room will ever be the DCI. Therefore we don't have the concept of having to continually move forward, because we recognize that I or you may right now have reached our plateau at which we can effectively operate from now on, but that we don't have either the capacity, the drive, the capability or what not, to go to the next grade. We don't want to be in a position where somehow we will be trapped by a regulation or a rule which if you don't get promoted within the next 10 days you are going to have to be fired." They want to keep me because, presumably, I can operate at this level, and at this level I am doing a useful job for the Agency. So there is no concept of promotion up or out in our career setup. And in that we are different from the Foreign . . . inaudible . . . Service, which has the Armed Forces.

Q. ... Insudible ... no longer mandatory in the Agency.

It's not as mandatory but it is in actual effect. I mean, it is not only the intent--because in the first place that is contained in the policy statement in the promotion regulation, that that is the intent--but it is becoming, to a very large extent, a fact that recruitment, lateral entry into CIA has slowed down almost to a walk, and most of the recruitment is at the lower levels. We don't want ever to stop lateral entry because lateral entry is the way whereby we, at an effective executive level, infuse new blood, new ideas, new concepts into the Agency. We don't want to get so inbred

25X1A

25X1A

that we don't get new ideas coming in. So a certain amount of lateral entry is highly desirable, otherwise we have lost a certain dynamic quality. Now those things are matters by which the Career Council, let's say, will establish the rates and degrees. They haven't yet because the system is too young, but it is quite within the bounds of possibility that the Career Council at sometime should say, "For the next year we will take in no more than so many at a higher level because it is undesirable to take in more and it is undesirable to take in less." It is quite within the bounds of possibility that they could establish such a policy.

- Q. Is the effective date of membership retroactive to the date of eligibility?
- A. It is, and the reason for that is there is such a teriffic backlog and workload it will take a solid year of working all out to finish it, that it would be unfair to the individual because it would be just the luck of the draw. So since membership in the Career Staff does not have any legal force outside of CIA, we have no reason to be at all hesitant about making it retroactive. In other words, there is no legal aspect to membership in the Career Staff; therefore it does become retroactive, except for cause, and there are some cases where it would not be retroactive to the original date of eligibility. That means that the great majority of people now and for almost a generation, the number of persons whose eligibility dates from 1 July 1954 will outnumber all others until a whole generation has passed, for all practical purposes.
- Q. Would loss of membership in the Career Service mean an immediate change of assignment?
- A. No, but anybody who loses his membership--he might as well face the fact that, whether it's his fault or not, he is on the skids, because he IS on the skids.
- Q. Well, would he be around?

25X1A

25X1A

- A. He won't be around for very long, because he has lost status, he has lost face, and he has become a second-class citizen.

  Do you see what I mean?
- Q. He has Civil Service rights?

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

He has all Civil Service rights, but there are a lot of intangible aspects. We haven't even touched on all of those problems.

25X1A

It is now ten minutes to 6:00. I would be delighted to go on as long as anybody has any questions. However, if anybody does have any questions I am available as long as there is any interest.